## RESEARCH

**Cancer Cell International** 





DHRS4-AS1 regulate gastric cancer apoptosis and cell proliferation by destabilizing DHX9 and inhibited the association between DHX9 and ILF3

Lei Xiao<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Yang zhang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Qingqing Luo<sup>4</sup>, Cao Guo<sup>5</sup>, Zihua Chen<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Chen Lai<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

## Abstract

Gastric cancer (GC) causes millions of cancer-related deaths due to anti-apoptosis and rapid proliferation. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying GC cell proliferation and anti-apoptosis remain unclear. The expression levels of DHRS4-AS1 in GC were analyzed based on GEO database and recruited GC patients in our institution. We found that DHRS4-AS1 was significantly downregulated in GC. The expression of DHRS4-AS1 in GC tissues showed a significant correlation with tumor size, advanced pathological stage, and vascular invasion. Moreover, DHRS4-AS1 levels in GC tissues were significantly associated with prognosis. DHRS4-AS1 markedly inhibited GC cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis in vitro and in vivo assays. Mechanically, We found that DHRS4-AS1 bound to pro-oncogenic DHX9 (DExH-box helicase 9) and recruit the E3 ligase MDM2 that contributed to DHX9 degradation. We also confirmed that DHRS4-AS1 inhibited DHX9-mediated cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis. Furthermore, we found DHX9 interact with ILF3 (Interleukin enhancer Binding Factor 3) and activate NF-kB Signaling in a ILF3-dependent Manner. Moreover, DHRS4-AS1 can also inhibit the association between DHX9 and ILF3 thereby interfered the activation of the signaling pathway. Our results reveal new insights into mechanisms underlying GC progression and indicate that LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 could be a future therapeutic target and a biomarker for GC diagnosis.

Keywords DHRS4-AS1, Gastric cancer, DHX9, ILF3, NF-KB signaling pathway

<sup>†</sup>Lei Xiao and Yang zhang contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Chen Lai

chenl\_csu@126.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Surgery, Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Xiangya Road No. 87, Kaifu District, Changsha 410000, Hunan Province, China

<sup>2</sup>Hunan Key Laboratory of Precise Diagnosis and Treatment of

Gastrointestinal Tumors, Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha 410000, Hunan Province, China



Affiliated Hospital of Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410000, Hunan Province, China <sup>5</sup>Key Laboratory for Molecular Radiation Oncology of Hunan Province, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410008, Hunan, China

<sup>3</sup>National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya

Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410008, Hunan, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Oncology, Hunan Provincial People's Hospital, The First

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

### Background

Gastric cancer (GC) is the second leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide [1-3]. Despite improvements in surgical techniques and targeted drug chemotherapy, the mortality rate of GC patients remains high. Furthermore, due rapid GC cell proliferation and strong anti-apoptotic ability, many GC patients are prone to tumor recurrence and drug resistance [4]. Therefore, explore the molecular mechanism of tumorigenesis and anti-apoptosis is essential to improve tumor treatment and patient prognosis.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-protein coding transcripts that are more than 200 nucleotides [5, 6]. Increasing evidence shows that lncRNAs play an important role in cancer progression. Specifically, lncRNAs regulate cancer cell death and proliferation has been verified in previous study [7]. For example, lncRNA LINC00473 promotes proliferation and inhibits cell apoptosis in nonsmall cell lung cancer cells [8]. Another lncRNA, HYPAL, promotes proliferation and is associated with poor prognosis in GC [9]. LncRNA HOXA11-AS promotes CG cell proliferation and invasion by forming scaffolds with PRC2, LSD1, and DNMT1 [10]. Several studies found that lncRNAs may function as scaffolds with miRNA or RNA binding protein (RBPs) [11, 12]. However, recent researches have proved that lncRNA may server as oncogene mediated regulation of tumor suppressor protein ubiquitination [13]. In this study, we report lncRNA DHRS4-AS1, a natural antisense transcript of DHRS4 that is implicated in multiple cancers [14, 15]. LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 acts as a tumor suppressor in most cancers, including glioma, clear cell renal cell carcinoma, and neuroblastoma [16-18]. However, The expression and molecular mechanism of DHRS4-AS1 in gastric cancer have not been reported.

In current study, We found that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is significantly downregulated in GC tissues. We also observed that DHRS4-AS1 regulates GC proliferation and apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, we demonstrate that DHRS4-AS1 simultaneously interacts with DHX9 and the E3 ligase MDM2, which destabilizes DHX9 expression by promoting MDM2-mediated ubiquitination. In addition, we verified that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 could also inhibit the association between DHX9 and ILF3, Which was critical for activating NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway by DHX9. Our results reveal the molecular mechanisms of GC and provide a new therapeutic strategy.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Bioinformatics analysis**

GSE106815 and GSE65801 gene expression data were downloaded from the GEO dataset [19, 20]. We also performed lncRNA microarray analysis in 5 paired gastric cancer tissues of our institution to detect the lncRNA expression profile. We have already described the processing and analysis of data in the previous study [21].

## **Clinical samples**

The clinical GC tissue samples and adjacent normal tissues were obtained from the Gastrointestinal Department of the Xiangya Hospital, Central South University. There were 68 clinical GC tissue samples involved in this study and the patients got informed consent. All samples were collected within 30 min after resection and were stored at -80 °C until use. All study procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University.

### Cell culture and transfection

All GC cell lines (AGS, HGC-27, MGC-803, MKN45, and MMKN74 cells) and GES1 human gastric mucosal epithelial cells were purchased from the Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (China, Shanghai). The cells were cultured and incubated in 1640 medium (Invitrogen, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, USA). HEK293 cell was purchased from Shanghai Cell Researcher Biotech Co., Ltd. The cells were cultured and incubated in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum. The cells were cultured at 37  $^{\circ}$ C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator.

The GC cell were transfected with recombinant lentiviruses using Enhance P reagent purchased from Genechem company (Shanghai, China). according to the manufacturer's protocol. GC cells were infected with lentivirus for 48 h and selected with 2 ug/mL puromycin for 2 weeks. The transfection efficiency was evaluated by qRT-PCR. In addition, the DHX9 and ILF3 Full-length or truncation were designed from Shanghai Cell Researcher Biotech Co., Ltd. Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) was used for cell transfection. The transfection efficiency of DHX9 knockdown plasmid was detected through western blotting. The plasmid sequences are supplied in Supplementary Table 1.

### **RNA isolation and qRT-PCR**

Total RNA from cells and tissues was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). Reverse transcription was performed using a Reagent test kit (Yeasen, Shanghai, China). qRT-PCR was used to detect gene expression using SYBR Green Master Mix (Yeasen, Shanghai, China). The primers were designed by Servicebio (Wuhan, China). Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

# 5-Ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine (EdU) and cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assays

Cells were incubated with 50 mM EdU (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China) for 2 h. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst dye for 30 min. Images were taken from 5 different fields of view under a fluorescence microscope. The percentage of proliferating cells that released green fluorescence was calculated. Cell proliferation was measured using a CCK-8 assay kit (Yeasen, Shanghai, China). The cells were incubated with 10  $\mu$ L CCK-8 reagent for 2 h. Absorbance at 450 nm was measured with a microplate reader.

### **Colony formation assay**

Almost 500 cells were plated in 6-well culture plates and incubated for 2 weeks. After washing with PBS, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and stained with 1% crystal violet for 10–15 min. Images were captured using a microscope. Image J software was used to quantify the number of colonies.

### Flow cytometry and TUNEL assays

The slice were incubated with Proteinase K for 20 min with 20 ug/mL in room temperature. After washing by PSB and incubated with 50ul TdT Incubation buffer in the dark for 1 h (Yeasen, Shanghai, China). Then adding 1ug/mL PI solution in dark for 5 min. Apoptosis of the tissues was measured using a fluorescence microscope.

### Western blot and co-immunoprecipitation

Total protein from cells and tissues were isolated from samples homogenized in RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The protein was separated by SDS– PAGE. Bands were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane and blocked with 5% skim milk for 1 h. The membrane was incubated with primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. Washed the membrane with TBST buffer solution and incubated with secondary antibody for 1 h. Protein bands were visualized using ECL (Advansta, USA). The antibodies used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

For co-immunoprecipitation experiments, cell lysates were prepared using Cell Lysis Buffer for Western and IP (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The lysates were incubated with 2–5  $\mu$ g antibody at 4 °C overnight. Protein A/G Plus-Agarose was washed three times with buffer and was incubated with cell lysates at room temperature for 4 h. The lysates was centrifuged at 4 °C and 3000 rpm. Proteins were eluted into protein loading buffer and analyzed by western blotting.

### Nude mice xenograft experiments

The animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Medical Experimental Animal Care Commission of Central South University. Adult nude male mice were maintained in a specific.

pathogen-free facility (12 weeks old) and purchased from Vital River (Beijing, China). The GC cells were stably transfected with lentivirus. The cells were subcutaneously injected below the foreleg on each side. The tumor growth monitored daily. The tumor volume (V) was calculated by V(mm<sup>3</sup>)= $0.5 \times$  width<sup>2</sup> × length.

# In situ hybridization and fluorescence in situ hybridization assays

Probes targeting DHRS4-SA1 were designed by Servicebio (Wuhan, China). Samples were digested with 20  $\mu$ g/ mL proteinase K at 37 °C for 30 min after deparaffinization and rehydration. Then, the samples were hybridized with 1  $\mu$ M probe overnight. Afterward, the samples were washed with diluted SSC (2× SSC for 10 min at 37 °C, 1 SSC, 2× SSC for 5 min at 37 °C, and 0.5 SSC for 10 min at room temperature). The samples were incubated with blocking reagent at room temperature, followed by alkaline phosphatase anti-digoxin (anti-DIG-AP) for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, BCIP/NBT chromogen was added. The nuclei were counterstained with nuclear fast red solution. Images were captured from 5 different fields of view using a microscope. For FISH assays, the cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min, washed 2-3 times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and treated with 20 µg/mL proteinase K for 5 min. The cells were then incubated with prehybridization solution at 37 °C for 1 h and were hybridized with 1  $\mu$ M probe overnight at 37 °C. Next, the cells were washed with diluted SSC ( $2\times$ SSC for 10 min at 37 °C, 1 SSC 2×5 min at 37 °C, and 0.5 SSC for 10 min at room temperature). The cells were treated with blocking reagent at room temperature and incubated with anti-DIG-AP for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the cells were incubated with CY3-TSA. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Images were captured using a fluorescence microscope.

### **Biotinylated RNA pull-down assay**

DHRS4-AS1 and DHRS41-AS1-antisense plasmids were cloned into PGEM-T vector containing a T7 promoter to prepare a plasmid template for in vitro RNA synthesis. This construct was linearized and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase (MEGAscript T7 Transcript Kit, Thermo Fisher, USA). DHRS4-AS1 and DHRS41-AS1antisense RNA were biotinylated in vitro and incubated with GC cell lysates. Then, magnetic beads were bound to labeled RNA with streptavidin and the bound proteins were recovered using elution buffer included in a Pierce Magnetic RNA-Protein Pull-Down Kit (Thermo Fisher, USA). The RNA/protein complexes were washed. The retrieved proteins were analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) or western blotting.

#### **RNA** immunoprecipitation

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays were performed using an RIP kit (Gzscbio, Guangzhou, China). The

cells were homogenized using the cell lysis buffer used for western blot and IP assays. Magnetic beads were pretreated with RIP buffer and incubated with 2–5 µg DHX9, MDM2, or IgG antibodies at 4 °C for 6–8 h. Then, this slurry was mixed with cell lysate and incubated at 4 °C overnight. The purified RNA was stored at –80 °C or analyzed by qRT-PCR.

## **Turnover** assays

GC cells were incubated with 50  $\mu$ g/mL CHX (Selleck, Shanghai, China) to block protein synthesis. Cells were collected after CHX treatment. Protein was isolated and analyzed by western blot.

## Immunofluorescence

GC cells were seeded on glass slides and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Then, the cells were washed three times with PBS and blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin for 30 min. The cells were incubated with DHX9 and MDM2 antibody at 4  $^{\circ}$ C overnight, washed with PBST, and incubated with secondary antibody of the corresponding species at room temperature in the dark for 1 h. Glycerol or neutral resin was used to mount and seal the slides. Samples were imaged with a fluorescence microscope.

### Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed with at least three biological replicates. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 and SPSS 25 software. The associations between DHRS4-AS1 and clinical pathology characteristics were analyzed by Chi-squared tests. DHRS4-AS1 expression and GC patient survival were tested using Kaplan–Meier plots and log-rank tests. Differences between two groups were analyzed using two-tailed unpaired Student's t tests or paired Student's t tests. p value of < 0.05 was used as the criterion of statistical significance.

## Results

## IncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is downregulated in gastric cancer

We First investigated the microarray datasets GSE65801 and GSE106815 to identified the misregulated lncRNA in GC tissues. There were 368 lncRNAs and 2201 lncRNAs misregulated in GC tissues with |logFC| > 2 and P < 0.05. In order to more accurately identify lncRNA closely related to gastric cancer, we recruited and collected 5 GC patients samples from our institution for lncRNA sequencing (Fig. 1A). We identified 7 misregulated lncRNAs common to all datasets (Fig. 1B). Among those 7 lncRNAs, DHRS4-AS1 was most remarkably downregulated in these three datasets. To evaluate the function of DHRS4-AS1 in GC, the protein-coding potential of lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 was determined using the coding potential assessment tool (CPAT) [22]. The CPAT score for DHR4-AS1 was 0.259, which is blew 0.500 suggests that DHRS4-AS1 is a non-coding RNA (Fig. S1A). In addition, the ORF of lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is negative value in txCdsPredict which created by University of California Santa Cruz(UCSC). It also indicated no proteincoding potential for DHRS4-AS1 (Fig. S1B).

We next examined DHRS4-AS1 expression using qRT-PCR in 68 paired GC tissues and adjacent normal tissues. LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 was significantly downregulated in GC tissues (Fig. 1C). To further investigate DHRS4-AS1 function, we examined DHRS4-AS1 expression in cell lines. Compared with human GES1 gastric mucosal epithelial cells, DHRS4-AS1 expression was significant downregulated except AGS cell line (Fig. 1D). In addition, ISH(In itu hybridization) assays revealed that DHRS4-AS1 was concentrated in normal gastric mucosal epithelial cells rather than tumor tissue (Fig. 1E). These data illustrate that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is tumor suppressor that might be involved in GC progression.

# DHRS4-AS1 expression is correlated with gastric cancer progression and poor prognosis

We divided patient samples into high and low DHRS4-AS1 expression groups according the median DHRS4-AS1 expression (Table 1). Low DHRS4-AS1 expression in GC tissues was significantly correlated with larger tumor size (p < 0.001), invasion depth (p = 0.021), TNM stage (p=0.049) and vascular invasion (p=0.028). We then used Kaplan–Meier (K–M) curves and log-rank method to analyze the correlation between DHRS4-AS1 enrichment and OS of GC patients. The result showed that the overall survival of the high DHRS4-AS1 group was significantly better than patients in the low DHRS4-AS1 group (Log Rank=5.220 p=0.020; Fig. 1F). Furthermore, the prognostic effect of DHRS4-AS1 was also supported by Kmplot database (www.kmplot.com) [23] (Fig. 1F and G). Furthermore, multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis revealed that DHRS4-AS1 expression in GC was not an independent prognostic indicator (hazard ratio=1.520; 95% CI 0.672–3.683; *p*=0.353). Instead, the TNM stage was an independent prognostic indicator in GC (hazard ratio=1.959; 95% CI 0.333–11.540; *P*=0.046; Table 2). These data indicate that DHRS4-AS1 is a potential biomarker of poor prognosis in GC patients.

## DHRS4-AS1 promotes gastric cancer cell apoptosis and inhibits proliferation in vitro

To further investigate the function of DHRS4-AS1 in GC, we overexpressed lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 in HGC-27 and MGC-803 GC cells. These cells showed significant DHRS4-AS1 downregulation compared to GES1 cells (Fig. 1D). Transfection efficiency of DHRS4-AS1 overexpressed was detected by qRT-PCR (Fig. 2A). EdU assays



**Fig. 1** LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is downregulated in gastric cancer. (**A**) Hierarchical clustering analysis of differentially expressed lncRNAs (fold change > 2; P < 0.05) in gastric cancer and normal tissues in gastric cancer and normal tissues. (**B**) overlap of misregulated lncRNAs in GEO datasets and our sequencing data. (**C**) Relative DHRS4-AS1 expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR in 68 GC tissue samples. (**D**) Relative DHRS4-AS1 levels in GC cell lines and normal human normal gastric mucosal epithelial cells. (**E**) LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 expression in GC tissue was analyzed by in situ hybridization. (**F**) The association between DHRS4-AS1 and OS was analyzed in our institution cohort. (**G** and **H**) Kaplan–Meier Survival Plots (K-M plots) analysis of the OS and PFS of GC patients based on DHRS4-AS1 expression. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, and \*\*\*p < 0.001

Clinicalpathology Characteristic	n	D	χ2	P value	
		Low expression(n = 34)	High expression(n = 34)		
Age(years)					
<60	53	27	26	0.086	0.770
≥60	15	7	8		
Sex					
Male	44	24	20	1.030	0.310
Female	24	10	14		
Tumor size(cm)					
>5 cm	36	7	29	28.569	<0.001*
≤5 cm	32	27	5		
Histologic differentiation					
Well and moderate	22	8	14	2.419	0.120
Poor and Undifferentiated	46	26	20		
Depth of invasion					
$T_1 + T_2$	11	2	9	5.314	0.021*
$T_3 + T_4$	57	32	25		
Lymphatic metastasis					
Yes	28	12	16	0.971	0.324
No	40	22	18		
Metastasis					
Mo	60	28	32	2.267	0.132
M <sub>1</sub>	8	6	2		
TNM stage					
+	28	10	18	3.886	0.049*
III + IV	40	24	16		
Nerve invasion					
No	44	19	25	2.138	0.138
Yes	24	15	9		
Vascular invasion					
No	37	14	23	4.802	0.028*
Yes	31	20	11		

Table 1 The relationship of DHRS4-AS1 expression with clinicopathologic variable of Gastric Cancer patients(N=68)

demonstrated that DHRS4-AS1 significantly impaired cell proliferation (Fig. 2B). Further Colony formation assay results also showed that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 inhibited colony formation in HGC-27 and MGC-803 cells (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, flow cytometry analysis was performed to investigate the effect of DHRS4-AS1 on GC cell apoptosis. We observed that DHRS4-AS1 overexpression significantly increased apoptosis in GC cells (Fig. 2D). We then performed western blot assays to examine the expression of the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2 and the apoptosis indicator Bax. The results showed that DHRS4-AS1 overexpression significantly increased Bcl-2 expression and reduced Bax expression (Fig. 2E). Although, We downregulated DHRS4-AS1 expression in AGS cells, which had higher DHRS4-AS1 expression than GES1 cells. Transfection efficiency was investigated using qRT-PCR in AGS cells (Fig. 2F). EdU and colony formation assays revealed cell proliferation was significantly increased with lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 knockdown (Fig. 2G H). As expected, flow cytometry showed that GC cell apoptosis was decreased by sh-DHRS4-AS1 (Fig. 2I).

Similarly, western blotting demonstrated that DHRS4-AS1 knockdown significantly upregulated the expression of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 and reduced Bax expression (Fig. 2J). Taken together, these results demonstrate that DHRS4-AS1 suppresses GC cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis in vitro.

# DHRS4-AS1 promotes gastric cancer cell apoptosis and inhibits proliferation in vivo

We next injected DHRS4-AS1 stable knockdown AGS cells, DHRS4-AS1 overexpressing HGC-27 cells, or control cells into nude mice to investigate the effects of DHRS4-AS1 on GC cell tumorigenesis in vivo. Tumors derived from DHRS4-AS1 knockdown HGC-27 cells were larger than negative controls (Sh-NC) (Fig. 3A and B). Conversely, the tumors derived from DHRS4-AS1-overexpressing AGS cells were smaller than in the Vector group (Fig. 3C and D). IHC assays revealed that tumor tissues collected from the DHRS4-AS1 knockdown group had more Ki67-positive cells, whereas the DHRS4-AS1 overexpression group had fewer Ki67-positive cells

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression anal	lyses
DHRS4-AS1 for OS of patients in study cohort (n = 68)	

Variables		OS		
	HR	95% CI	Р	
			value	
Univariate analysis				
Age(≥60<60 vs. <60)	0.943	0.379–2.350	0.900	
Sex(female vs. male)	0.867	0.393-1.912	0.724	
Tumor size(≥5 cm vs<5 cm )	1.804	0.827-3.922	0.138	
Histologic differentiation(poorly + un- differentiated vs. well + moderately)		1.127–9.507	0.029 <sup>*</sup>	
Depth of invasion( $T_3 + T_4$ vs. $T_1 + T_2$ )	6.667	0.902-49.273	0.063	
Lymphatic metastasis(yes vs. no)	3.028	1.213-7.554	0.018 <sup>*</sup>	
Metastasis(M <sub>1</sub> vs. M <sub>0</sub> )	3.674	1.458–9.254	0.006	
TNM stage(III + IV vs. I + II)	4.127	1.553-10.969	0.004*	
Nerve invasion(yes vs. no)	2.844	1.264-6.400	0.012*	
Vascular invasion(yes vs. no)	2.403	1.109-5.206	0.026*	
Expression of DHRS4-AS1 (high vs.	0.405	0.180-0.909	0.028*	
low)				
Multivariate analysis				
Lymphatic metastasis(yes vs. no)	1.380	0.286-6.670	0.688	
Histologic differentiation(poorly + un- differentiatedvs well + moderately)		0.567–5.635	0.322	
TNM stage(III + IV vs. I + II)	1.959	0.333-11.540	0.046*	
Vascular invasion(yes vs. no)	0.629	0.259-1.528	0.306	
Expression of DHRS4-AS1 (low vs. high)	1.520	0.627-3.683	0.354	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio. \*p<0.05

than the Vector group (Fig. 3E). TUNEL assays were performed to assess tumor cell apoptosis. Apoptosis in AGS cells in the Sh-NC, Sh-DHRS4-AS1#1, and Sh-DHRS4-AS1#2 groups were 17.3±0.23%, 7.1±0.73% and 5.3±0.87%, respectively. In contrast, apoptosis in HGC-27 cells from the Vector and DHRS4-AS1 groups were 11.7±0.48% and 34.1±0.93%, respectively (Fig. 3E). Moreover, higher Bax and cleaved caspase-3 levels were observed in the DHRS4-AS1 overexpression group compared with the Vector group. We also observed lower Bcl-2 levels in the DHRS4-AS1 overexpression group. Lower Bax levels and higher Bcl-2 and cleaved caspase-3 levels were observed in the Sh-DHRS4-AS1#1 and Sh-DHRS4-AS1#2 groups compared with the Sh-NC group in AGS cell-derived tumors. These results were similar to the in vitro results (Fig. 3F). Therefore, DHRS4-AS1 promotes GC cell apoptosis and inhibits proliferation in vivo.

## DHRS4-AS1 accelerates DHX9 degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway

Accumulating evidence suggests that lncRNAs may function as scaffolds for binding proteins that promote cancer development [24–26]. To further investigate the mechanisms involving DHRS4-AS1 in GC cells. we first investigated the distribution of DHRS4-AS1 in AGS and

HGC-27 cells using FISH assay. DHRS4-AS1 was distributed almost equally between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Fig. 4A), which corroborates a previous study showing that almost 40% of lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is distributed in the nucleus in HL7702 cells [12]. We next performed RNA pull-down assays with AGS nucleus extracts and identified proteins that interact with DHRS4-AS1 using mass spectrometry. We found that DHX9 was the fourth most abundant protein among all the pulleddown proteins (Supplementary Table 4). Western blotting using DHRS4-AS1 pull-down extracts from GC AGS and HGC-27 cells confirmed that DHX9 indeed binds to DHRS4-AS1 (Fig. 4B). Consistently, DHX9 antibody successfully pulled down lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 in RIP assays, which was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, DHX9 protein abundance was significantly decreased after DHRS4-AS1 overexpression, while DHRS4-AS1 knockdown upregulated DHX9 expression (Fig. 4D). However, qRT-PCR revealed that DHRS4-AS1 did not alter DHX9 mRNA levels (Fig. 4E), which indicates that DHRS4-AS1 does not reduce DHX9 protein expression by reducing DHX9 mRNA levels. We next examined DHX9 expression in AGS cells after transfection with Sh-NC, Sh-DHRS4-AS1#1, or Sh-DHRS4-AS1#2 after treatment with the protein synthesis inhibitor Cycloheximide(CHX). DHX9 expression in both DHRS4-AS1 knockdown groups decreased more slowly than in the Sh-NC group (Fig. 4F). Conversely, DHRS4-AS1 overexpression enhanced DHX9 downregulation after treating HGC-27 or MGC-803 cells with CHX (Fig. 4G). In addition, we examined whether IncRNA DHS4-AS1-mediated DHX9 downregulation is inhibited by the proteasome inhibitor MG132(5  $\mu$ M). The results showed that MG132 could abolish downregulation of DHX9 by lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 induced (Fig. 4H). We then examined whether DHX9 degradation was mediated by ubiquitination. Endogenous DHX9 was immunoprecipitated from GC cells, which showed that ubiquitin signals were increased in the DHRS4-AS1 overexpression groups compared with control cells. Consistently, DHX9 ubiquitination was decreased in cells in the Sh-DHRS4-AS1 group (Fig. 4I). These data indicate that DHRS4-AS1 regulates DHX9 expression via ubiquitinproteasome system.

## DHRS4-AS1 promotes interactions between DHX9 and the E3 ligase MDM2

We searched for candidate DHX9 ligases in the Human Protein Reference Database (www.hprd.org) to identify the potential ubiquitin E3 ligase that targets DHX9 in gastric cancer. The top 20 potential E3 ligases are shown (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Table 5). We next performed immunoprecipitation assays and found that the ubiquitin



**Fig. 2** LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 promotes gastric cancer cell apoptosis and inhibits proliferation in vitro. (**A**) Relative DHRS4-AS1 expression was evaluated after transfection with DHRS4-AS1 Lentivirus. (**B** and **C**) EdU and clone formation assays to assess DHRS4-AS1-overexpressing GC cell proliferation. (**D**) Cell apoptosis was determined by flow cytometry after DHRS4-AS1 overexpressed. (**E**) Western blot assay of Bax and Bcl-2 expression in GC cells overexpressing DHRS4-AS1. (**F**) Relative DHRS4-AS1 expression was measured to evaluate transfection efficiency. (**G** and **H**) Clone formation assay and EdU assays were used to measure GC cell proliferation after transfection with DHRS4-AS1 knock-down plasmid. (**I**) Cell apoptosis was determined by flow cytometry after DHRS4-AS1 knock-down plasmid. (**I**) Cell apoptosis was determined by flow cytometry after DHRS4-AS1 knockdown. (**J**) Western blot assays to evaluate Bax and Bcl-2 expression in GC cells after DHRS4-AS1 knockdown. \**p* < 0.05, \*\**p* < 0.01, and \*\*\**p* < 0.001



**Fig. 3** LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 promotes gastric cancer cell apoptosis and inhibits proliferation in vivo. (**A**) Tumor size in xenograft mice with tumors derived from Sh-NC-, Sh-DHRS4-AS1#1-, and Sh-DHRS4-AS1#2-expressing AGS cells was evaluated. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 6. (**B**) Relative DHRS4-AS1 expression in mouse tumors was evaluated. (**C**) Vector- and DHRS4-AS1-overexpressing AGS cells were injected into mice. We evaluated tumor growth curves. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 6. (**D**) Relative DHRS4-AS1 expression was evaluated in mouse tumors. (**E**) GC cell apoptosis was analyzed using TUNEL assays using samples from subcutaneously-implanted tumors with different DHRS4-AS1 expression. (**F**) Immunostaining of apoptosis-related proteins and Ki67 in subcutaneous tumors from DHRS4-AS1-expressing cells. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, and \*\*\*p < 0.001

E3 ligase MDM2, rather than SYVN1, interacts with DHX9 (Fig. 5B). We also analyzed proteins pulled-down with DHRS4-AS1 and observed that MDM2 was pulled-down (Fig. 5C). In addition, MDM2 and DHX9 co-local-ized with each other in AGS and HGC-27 cells (Fig. 5D). We further examined whether lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 impacts interactions between DHX9 and MDM2 in GC cells. Immunoprecipitation assays showed that less DHX9 precipitated with MDM2 in AGS cells after DHRS4-AS1 knock down, compared with the Sh-NC group (Fig. 5E).

In contrast, more DHX9 precipitated with MDM2 in HGC-27 cells after DHRS4-AS1 overexpressed (Fig. 5F). These results indicate that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 acts as a scaffold to facilitate interactions between DHX9 and the E3 ligase MDM2, thus accelerating DHX9 degradation.

## DHX9 enhances cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis in GC cells, which is impaired by IncRNA DHRS4-AS1

DHX9 expression in GC was searched in TCGA and GEPIA databases. The results showed that DHX9 was



**Fig. 4** LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 binds DHX9 and accelerates its degradation. (**A**) FISH was used to detect DHX9 localization in GC, AGS, and HGC-27 cells. (**B**) LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 pull-down followed by western blotting to detect interactions with DHX9. (**C**) RIP assays were employed using DHX9 antibodies to determine if lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 co-precipitates with DHX9. IgG was used as a negative control that did not interact with DHRS4-AS1. (**D**) Western blot analysis showing that DHRS4-AS1 alters DHX9 expression. (**E**) qRT-PCR showed that DHRS4-AS1 has no effect on DHX9 mRNA levels. (**F**) DHX9 expression was measured in GC and AGS cells expressing Sh-DHRS4-AS1 or Sh-NC groups by western blotting after treatment with 50 μg/mL CHX. (**G**) DHX9 expression was measured in HGC-27 and MGD-803 cells overexpressing DHRS4-AS1 or empty vector using western blotting after treatment with 50 μg/mL CHX. (**H**) DHX9 expression in DHRS4-AS1-overexpressing and empty vector-expressing GC, HGC-27, and MGC-803 cells after treatment with 5 μM MG132 was evaluated using western blotting. (**I**) Western blot of DHX9 immunoprecipitated from DHRS4-AS-expressing GC cells to examine endogenous DHX9 ubiquitination.\**p* < 0.05, \*\**p* < 0.01,*NS*:Not significant

significantly upregulated in GC tissues (Fig. S2A and S2B). To verify the TGCA results, we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) to examine DHX9 expression in GC tissues. As expected, the IHC results showed that DHX9 expression in GC tissues was significantly higher than in paired adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 6A). Similar results showed that DHX9 protein level in GC tissues was significantly upregulated compared to adjacent cancer tissues (Fig. 6B). We next performed DHX9 knockdown in AGS and HGC-27 cells. The transfection efficiency was investigated using western blotting (Fig. 6C). CCK-8 assays and Clone formation assays showed that DHX9 knockdown impaired cell proliferation and clone formation (Fig. 6D and E). Moreover, flow cytometry revealed that DHX9 knockdown significantly increased GC cell apoptosis (Fig. 6F). These results illustrate that DHX9 is significantly upregulated and acts as an oncogene in GC. As we found both lncRNA and DHX9 regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis in GC. Moreover, lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 decreased DHX9 expression. Thus, we examined whether the effects of DHX9-mediated proliferation and apoptosis in GC cells were inhibited by lncRNA

DHRS4-AS1. We transfected empty vector or DHX9overexpression plasmids into the control and DHRS4-AS1 groups (Fig. 6G). DHRS4-AS1 decreased GC cell proliferation, while overexpressing DHX9 increased proliferation. However, lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 can impair DHX9-mediated proliferation.(Figure 6H and I) Besides, overexpressing DHRS4-AS1 increased GC cell apoptosis, while overexpressing DHX9 showed the opposite effect. However, lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 can impair DHX9-mediated apoptosis resistance (Fig. 6J). Our results indicate that DHX9 is upregulated in GC, and its effects on GC cell proliferation are inhibited by DHRS4-AS1.

## LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 inhibited association between DHX9 and ILF3 and inhibiting the activation of NF-kB signaling pathway by DHX9

To further reveal the molecular mechanism of DHX9 in gastric cancer, we conducted proteomic analysis using lysates prepared from DHRS4-AS1 pull-down. We found another cancer-related protein ILF3 was presented in DHRS4-AS1 pull-down complexes. We performed co-IPs in HGC-27 and AGS cells. Western blot analysis of



Fig. 5 LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 promotes interactions between DHX9 and the E3 ligase MDM2. (A) Candidate E3 ligases that interact with DHX9 were predicted from the Human Protein Reference Database. (B) Immunoblotting following immunoprecipitation with an DHX9 antibody verified that MDM2, rather than SYVN1, interacts with DHX9. (C) DHRS4-AS1 pull-down followed by western blotting validated interactions with MDM2. (D) Immunostaining of DHX9 and MDM2 in AGS and HGC-27 cells. (E) Immunoblotting for DHX9 and MDM2 following immunoprecipitation from AGS cells from the Sh-NC, Sh-DHRS4-AS1#1, and Sh-DHRS4-AS1#2 groups. (F) Immunoblotting for DHX9 and MDM2 following immunoprecipitation in vector and DHRS4-AS1overexpressing HGC-27 cells

CO-IP demonstrated that DHX9 and ILF3 associate with each other (Fig. 7A). To further map the regions of DHX9 and ILF3 responsible for their interaction. We performed Co-IP assay with ILF3-Flag and Myc-tagged truncated as well as full-length DHX9. Based on the signature protein domains: Myc 1, residues 1-254, Myc 2, residues 255–635, Myc 3, residues 636–1200. ILF3-Flag was only present in Co-IPs when the Myc 3 domains was present (Fig. 7B). It suggested Myc 3 domains of DHX9 were crucial for the interaction with ILF3. Besides, we also constructed Flag-tagged domains to test in coIPs with DHX9-Myc. Flag 1, residues 1-378, Flag 2, residues 379–591, Flag 3, residues 592–894. ILF3-Flag1 and ILF3-Flag3 pulled down DHX9-Myc at a similar efficiency as full-length (Fig. 7C). Those results indicated ILF3-Flag1 and ILF3-Flag3 were critical elements for complex formation between DHX9 and ILF3.

Furthermore, we found that DHX9 and ILF3 showed significant positive correlation in GC in ChIPBase V2.0 database (Fig. 7D). We also found ILF3 protein abundance



Fig. 6 DHX9 is upregulated in GC and is inhibited by IncRNA DHRS4-AS1. (**A**) Immunohistochemistry was performed to detect DHX9 expression in gastric cancer and adjacent normal tissues. (**B**) Western blotting was used to detected DHX9 protein expression in gastric cancer and adjacent normal tissues. (**C**) Relative DHX9 expression in GC cells after transfection Sh-DHX9-NC, Sh-DHX9-1, and Sh-DHX9-2. (**D** and **E**) CCK-8 and clone formation assays to examine DHX9-transfected cell proliferation. (**F**) FCM of apoptosis in GC cells transfected with DHX9. (**G**) Relative DHX9 expression level was measured using western blotting in cells transfected with empty vector and DHRS4-AS1 overexpression groups. (**H**) CCK-8 assay measuring the proliferation of transfected cells. (**I**) Colony formation assay to examine cell proliferation after DHX9 knockdown. (**J**) FCM assay to examine apoptosis in transfected cells. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 NS:Not significant

was significantly decreased after DHX9 knockdown while DHX9 overexpression upregulated ILF3 expression (Fig. 7E). Therefore, we have reason to believe that ILF3 plays a crucial role in the function of DHX9. Previous study have proved that DHX9 contributes to the malignant phenotypes of colorectal cancer by activating NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway [24]. To detect whether DHX9 could regulate the activity of NF-κB signaling pathway in GC, Western Blot analysis showed that DHX9 overexpression upregulated the expression of p-IκB and p-p65. However, co-transfection of DHX9 overexpression and si-ILF3 indicated that DHX9 increased the p-IκB and p-p65 would be reversed by ILF3 knockdown (Fig. 7F). It means the interaction between ILF3 plays a crucial role for



**Fig. 7** IncRNA DHRS4-AS1 inhibited the associate between DHX9 and ILF3. (**A**) Western blot analysis of co-IPs performed in AGS and HGC-27 with DHX9 and ILF3 antibody. (**B**) Western blot analysis of co-IPs performed on lysates prepared from HEK293 cells transfected with ILF3-Flag alone or together with indicated DHX9-Myc constructs. Top, co-IP performed with anti-Myc; bottom, input protein. (**C**) Western blot analysis of co-IPs performed on lysates prepared from HEK293 cells transfected with DHX9-Myc alone or together with indicated ILF3-Flag constructs. Top, CO-IP performed with anti-Myc; bottom, input protein. (**D**) The correlation between DHX9 and ILF3 was analysed in ChipBase V2.0 database. (**E**) Western blot analysis was performed to detected the expression of ILF3 in DHX9 overexpressd and knockdown GC cell. (**F**) Overexpression of DHX9 activate the phosphorylation of NF-κB (P65) and IkBa on protein level while was seriously alleviated by ILF3 knockdown. (**G**) Western blot analysis of co-IPs performed with anti-DHX9 and Iysates prepared from DHRS4-AS1 overexpressd and knockdown GC cells. Cells were pretreated with MG132 (5 μM) for 90 min.IgG groups served as negative controls

DHX9 to activate the NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway. Finally, we examined the role of DHRS4-AS1 in formation of the complex between DHX9 and ILF3. CO-IP results showed that DHRS4-AS1 overexpression decreased the ILF3 in co-immunoprecipitation for DHX9 in HGC-27. On the contrary, Knockdown of DHRS4-AS1 led to increased

binding of DHX9 to ILF3 in AGS cells (Fig. 7G). Taken together, these results demonstrated that the lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 inhibited interaction between DHX9 and ILF3, thereby inhibiting the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway by DHX9.

## Discussion

GC prognosis is very poor owing to the difficulty of early diagnosis and rapid proliferation [27]. Exploring the molecular biomarkers for early diagnosis of gastric cancer is crucial for improving the therapeutic efficacy of gastric cancer treatment. LncRNAs are novel genes involved in GC progression that had verified in previous study []. Since lncRNAs do not encode proteins by themselves, they usually function by regulating gene transcription, protein translation, or post-translational protein modification [29]. However, whether lncRNAs drive proliferation or apoptosis in GC has not been extensively studied. In this study, we report that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 plays a vital role in GC proliferation and promoting GC cell apoptosis by inhibiting DHX9. DHRS4-AS1 expression is generally downregulated in GC tissues. Moreover, decreased DHRS4-AS1 expression is significantly associated with poor prognosis in gastric cancer patients.

LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is a natural antisense transcript of the DHRS4 gene, which functions as an oncogene in some malignant tumors [30, 31]. Interestingly, lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 exhibit tumor suppression properties. DHRS4-AS1 suppresses cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis via the miR-522-3p/SOCS5 axis In hepatocellular carcinoma [32]. In addition, DHRS4-AS1 inhibits the NSCLC cell stemness and correlates with tumor immune cell infiltration [33]. We show that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is highly expressed in normal gastric mucosa tissue and is downregulated in GC tissue. Knocking down or overexpressing DHRS4-AS1 significantly suppresses or enhances cancer cell apoptosis, respectively, and is closely related to proliferation in vitro and in vivo. Overall, our study and previous studies suggest that DHRS4-SA1 functions as a tumor suppressor in many cancer types.

Many studies reported that lncRNAs regulate gene expression. In general, lncRNAs in the cytoplasm act as endogenous RNAs that compete for microRNAs and regulate protein expression [34-36]. Other lncRNAs in the nucleus usually act as scaffolds by interacting with RNA binding protein and regulating chromatin structure [37-39]. In this study, we showed that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 acts as a scaffold to enhance interactions between the E3 ligase MDM2 and DHX9. MDM2 serves as E3 Ligase regulates cancer cell proliferation in various tumors [40]. DHRS4-AS1 directly binds to DHX9 and negatively regulates DHX9 expression. We also showed that DHRS4-AS1 recruit E3 ligase MDM2 and enhance its associate with DHX9, thereby mediating DHX9 ubiquitination. However, further investigation is required to examine details regarding DHRS4-AS1-mediated DHX9 ubiquitination.

DHX9 functions as a oncoprotein that is closely associated with tumor cell proliferation and metastasis [41, 42]. In this study, we also observed that DHX9 is significantly upregulated in GC tissues. DHX9 expression in GC tissues is associated with patient prognosis. Furthermore, DHX9 promotes GC cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis. Moreover, DHX9-mediated effects on GC cell proliferation and apoptosis are reversed by lncRNA DHRS4-AS1. Those results suggested that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 that the effect of DHRS4-AS1 on gastric cancer proliferation and apoptosis is achieved through targeted downregulation of DHX9. To further explore the molecular mechanism of DHX9 on the malignant behavior of gastric cancer, we found that DHX9 interacts with a arcinogenesis ILF3 which was also reported contributed to the malignant phenotypes of multiple tumors. Moreover, Further map the regions of DHX9 and ILF3 demonstrated that the signature protein domains Myc 3 residues 636-1200 of DHX9 responsible for interaction with domains Flag 1 residues 1-378 and Flag 3 residues 592-894 of ILF3. This provides a potential target for our future therapy targeting DHX9. In addition, previous studies demonstrated that DHX9 was required for NF-κB mediated transcriptional activation [43-45]. NF-κB activation is constantly observed in GC and correlated with cellular processes including proliferation, apoptosis and metastasis [46]. Accumulating evidence indicates that DHX9 functions as a driver of carcinogenesis and showed positively correlated with NF-KB signal activation. We also found that the expression level of ILF3 was significant up-regulated in DHX9 overexpressed GC cells. Moreover, we found the associate between DHX9 and ILF3 was crucial for activation of NF-KB signal pathway in GC. Knockdown the expression of ILF3 in DHX9 overexpressed GC cell significant suppressed the activation of NF-KB. It indicated that the activation of NF-KB by DHX9 in GC was an ILF3-depend manner. Furthermore, our study verified that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 overexpressed significant inhibited the associate between of ILF3 and DHX9 while DHRS4-AS1 enhanced interaction between these two protein. thereby inhibiting the activation of NF-KB signaling pathway by DHX9. Therefore, our work further interprets the molecular mechanism of LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 in gastric cancer, providing new possibilities for clinical application.

In summary, our results illustrate that lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 is a tumor suppressor in GC. DHRS4-AS1 expression is significantly associated with GC prognosis. Moreover, lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 regulates GC apoptosis and cell proliferation by destabilizing DHX9. At the same time, lncRNA DHRS4-AS1 acts as a suppressor to inhibit interactions between the DHX9 and ILF3, thereby interfering with the activation of the NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway. Our study reveals new insights into the mechanisms driving GC cell proliferation and apoptosis and highlights the potential of lncRNAs as future therapeutic targets.

## Conclusions

DHRS4-AS1 was significant down-regulated in gastric cancer tissue and low expression of DHRS4-AS1 was correlated with malignant phenotypes and worse outcomes in GC. DHRS4-AS1 acts as a scaffold to facilitate interactions between DHX9 and the E3 ligase MDM2, thus accelerating DHX9 degradation via ubiquitin-proteasome system. DHRS4-AS1 inhibited association between DHX9 and ILF3 and inhibiting the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway by DHX9.

### Abbreviations

LncRNA	Long noncoding RNA
GC	Gastric cancer
OS	Overall survival
PFS	Progression-free survival
OD	Optical density
IHC	Immunohistochemistry
ISH	In situ hybridization
CHX	Cycloheximid
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
TCGA	The cancer Genome Project

### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-023-03151-x.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks to the members of our laboratory for their contributions.

#### Authors' contributions

LX and YZ performed the experiments; CZ and LC designed the study; QL and CG provided technical Support; LX and YZ performed experiments and revised the manuscript; LC analyzed data; and LX wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81974386) and the Research and Development program in Key Areas of Hunan Province (Grant 2019SK2143).

#### **Data Availability**

The datasets used or analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### **Ethics declarations**

This study involved human participants and was approved by Xiangya Hospital of Central South University Ethics Committee. All patients provided informed written consent. Animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University.

### **Consent for publication**

We have obtained consents to publish this paper from all the participants of this study.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 25 July 2023 / Accepted: 18 November 2023

### Published online: 01 December 2023

#### References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71:209–49.
- Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64:9–29.
- 3. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, Jemal A, Yu XQ, He J. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66:115–32.
- Zhao W, Liu K, Sun Z, Wang L, Liu B, Liu L, Qu X, Cao Z, Sun J, Chai J. Application research of individualized conditional reprogramming system to guide treatment of gastric cancer. Front Oncol. 2021;11:709511.
- Marchese FP, Raimondi I, Huarte M. The multidimensional mechanisms of long noncoding RNA function. Genome Biol. 2017;18:206.
- Tsai MC, Spitale RC, Chang HY. Long intergenic noncoding RNAs: new links in cancer progression. Cancer Res. 2011;71:3–7.
- Fang Y, Fullwood MJ. Roles, functions, and mechanisms of long non-coding RNAs in cancer. Genomics Proteom Bioinf. 2016;14:42–54.
- Xu SH, Bo YH, Ma HC, Zhang HN, Shao MJ. LncRNA LINC00473 promotes proliferation, migration, invasion and inhibition of apoptosis of non-small cell Lung cancer cells by acting as a sponge of miR-497-5p. Oncol Lett. 2021;21:429.
- Piao HY, Liu Y, Kang Y, Wang Y, Meng XY, Yang D, Zhang J. Hypoxia associated IncRNA HYPAL promotes proliferation of gastric cancer as ceRNA by sponging mir-431-5p to upregulate CDK14. Gastric Cancer. 2022;25:44–63.
- Sun M, Nie F, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Hou J, He D, Xie M, Xu L, De W, Wang Z, et al. LncRNA HOXA11-AS promotes proliferation and invasion of gastric cancer by scaffolding the chromatin modification factors PRC2, LSD1, and DNMT1. Cancer Res. 2016;76:6299–310.
- Ding X, Jia X, Wang C, Xu J, Gao SJ, Lu C. A DHX9-IncRNA-MDM2 interaction regulates cell invasion and angiogenesis of Cervical cancer. Cell Death Differ. 2019;26:1750–65.
- Liu P, Fu R, Chen K, Zhang L, Wang S, Liang W, Zou H, Tao L, Jia W. ETV5mediated upregulation of IncRNA CTBP1-DT as a ceRNA facilitates HGSOC progression by regulating miR-188-5p/MAP3K3 axis. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12:1146.
- Zhang E, He X, Zhang C, Su J, Lu X, Si X, Chen J, Yin D, Han L. De W A novel long noncoding RNA HOXC-AS3 mediates tumorigenesis of gastric cancer by binding to YBX1. Genome Biol. 2018;19:154.
- 14. Utnes P, Lokke C, Flaegstad T, Einvik C. Clinically relevant biomarker discovery in high-risk recurrent neuroblastoma. Cancer Inf. 2019;18:1883388082.
- Luan F, Chen W, Chen M, Yan J, Chen H, Yu H, Liu T, Mo L. An autophagyrelated long non-coding RNA signature for glioma. FEBS Open Bio. 2019;9:653–67.
- 16. Yan F, Zhao W, Xu X, Li C, Li X, Liu S, Shi L, Wu Y. LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 inhibits the stemness of NSCLC cells by sponging mir-224-3p and upregulating TP53 and TET1. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:585251.
- Wang C, Wang G, Zhang Z, Wang Z, Ren M, Wang X, Li H, Yu Y, Liu J, Cai L, et al. The downregulated long noncoding RNA DHRS4-AS1 is protumoral and associated with the prognosis of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Onco Targets Ther. 2018;11:5631–46.
- Yang Y, Su Z, Song X, Liang B, Zeng F, Chang X, Huang D. Enhancer RNAdriven looping enhances the transcription of the long noncoding RNA DHRS4-AS1, a controller of the DHRS4 gene cluster. Sci Rep. 2016;6:20961.
- Zhuo W, Liu Y, Li S, Guo D, Sun Q, Jin J, Rao X, Li M, Sun M, Jiang M, Xu Y, Teng L, Jin Y, Si J, Liu W, KangandT Y. Zhou. Long noncoding RNA GMAN, Upregulated in gastric Cancer tissues, is Associated with Metastasis in patients and promotes translation of ephrin A1 by competitively binding GMAN-AS. Gastroenterology. 2019;156(3):676–91.
- Li H, Yu B, Li J, Su L, Zhang MYJ, Li C. ; Z, Zhuand; B, Liu. Characterization of differentially expressed genes involved in pathways associated with gastric cancer. 2015, 10(4):e125013.
- 21. Xiao L, Yuan W, Huang C, Luo Q, Xiao R, Chen ZH. LncRNA PCAT19 induced by SP1 and acted as oncogene in gastric cancer competitively binding to miR429 and upregulating DHX9. J Cancer. 2022;13:102–11.
- 22. Lan T, Yuan K, Yan X, Xu L, Liao H, Hao X, Wang J, Liu H, Chen X, Xie K, et al. LncRNA SNHG10 facilitates hepatocarcinogenesis and Metastasis by

modulating its homolog SCARNA13 via a positive feedback loop. Cancer Res. 2019;79:3220–34.

- Szasz AM, Lanczky A, Nagy A, Forster S, Hark K, Green JE, Boussioutas A, Busuttil R, Szabo A, Gyorffy B. Cross-validation of survival associated biomarkers in gastric cancer using transcriptomic data of 1,065 patients. Oncotarget. 2016;7:49322–33.
- Gao P, Xia JH, Sipeky C, Dong XM, Zhang Q, Yang Y, Zhang P, Cruz SP, Zhang K, Zhu J, et al. Biology and clinical implications of the 19q13 aggressive Prostate cancer susceptibility locus. Cell. 2018;174:576–89.
- Hua JT, Ahmed M, Guo H, Zhang Y, Chen S, Soares F, Lu J, Zhou S, Wang M, Li H et al. Risk SNP-Mediated Promoter-Enhancer switching drives prostate cancer through IncRNA PCAT19. Cell 2018, 174, 564–575.
- Ren Y, Shang J, Li J, Liu W, Zhang Z, Yuan J, Yang M. The long noncoding RNA PCAT-1 links the microRNA miR-215 to oncogene CRKL-mediated signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Biol Chem. 2017;292:17939–49.
- 27. Strong VE. Progress in gastric cancer. Updates Surg. 2018;70:157-9.
- Wei L, Sun J, Zhang N, Zheng Y, Wang X, Lv L, Liu J, Xu Y, Shen Y, Yang M. Noncoding RNAs in gastric cancer: implications for drug resistance. Mol Cancer. 2020;19:62.
- 29. Pal D, Rao M. Long noncoding RNAs in pluripotency of stem cells and cell fate specification. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017;1008:223–52.
- Peng WX, Koirala P, Mo YY. LncRNA-mediated regulation of cell signaling in cancer. Oncogene. 2017;36:5661–7.
- Li Q, Su Z, Xu X, Liu G, Song X, Wang R, Sui X, Liu T, Chang X, Huang D. AS1DHRS4, a head-to-head natural antisense transcript, silences the DHRS4 gene cluster in cis and trans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:14110–5.
- 32. Dai Y, Chen Z, Zhao W, Cai G, Wang Z, Wang X, Hu H, Zhang Y. MiR-29a-5p regulates the proliferation, invasion, and migration of gliomas by targeting DHRS4. Front Oncol. 2020;10:1772.
- Zhou Y, Li K, Zou X, Hua Z, Wang H, Bian W, Wang H, Chen F, Dai T. LncRNA DHRS4-AS1 ameliorates hepatocellular carcinoma by suppressing proliferation and promoting apoptosis via miR-522-3p/SOCS5 axis. Bioengineered. 2021;12:10862–77.
- Su Y, Xu B, Shen Q, Lei Z, Zhang W, Hu T. LIMK2 is a novel prognostic biomarker and correlates with Tumor immune cell infiltration in lung squamous cell carcinoma. Front Immunol. 2022;13:788375.
- Yu F, Geng W, Dong P, Huang Z, Zheng J, Correction. LncRNA-MEG3 inhibits activation of hepatic stellate cells through SMO protein and miR-212. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13:133.
- Liu Z, Wang P, Yuan S, Wang Y, Cao P, Wen F, Li H, Zhu L, Liang L, Wang Z, et al. LncRNA BC200/miR-150-5p/MYB positive feedback loop promotes the malignant proliferation of Myelodysplastic Syndrome. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13:126.

- Li Y, Guo D, Lu G, Mohiuddin CA, Zhang D, Ren M, Chen Y, Wang R, He S. LncRNA SNAI3-AS1 promotes PEG10-mediated proliferation and Metastasis via decoying of miR-27a-3p and miR-34a-5p in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Death Dis. 2020;11:685.
- Xu TP, Wang WY, Ma P, Shuai Y, Zhao K, Wang YF, Li W, Xia R, Chen WM, Zhang EB, et al. Upregulation of the long noncoding RNA FOXD2-AS1 promotes carcinogenesis by epigenetically silencing EphB3 through EZH2 and LSD1, and predicts poor prognosis in gastric cancer. Oncogene. 2018;37:5020–36.
- Li W, Sun M, Zang C, Ma P, He J, Zhang M, Huang Z, Ding Y, Shu Y. Upregulated long non-coding RNA AGAP2-AS1 represses LATS2 and KLF2 expression through interacting with EZH2 and LSD1 in non-small-cell Lung cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2016;7:e2225.
- Mohammadian M, Rostamzadeh KZ, Emamgholizadeh MS, Ebrahimifar M, Esgandari K. Regulatory effects of Apatinib in Combination with Piperine on MDM-2 gene expression, glutathione peroxidase activity and nitric oxide level as mechanisms of cytotoxicity in Colorectal Cancer cells. Adv Pharm Bull. 2022;12(2):404–9.
- Liu YW, Xia R, Lu K, Xie M, Yang F, Sun M, De W, Wang C, Ji G. LincRNAFEZF1-AS1 represses p21 expression to promote gastric cancer proliferation through LSD1-Mediated H3K4me2 demethylation. Mol Cancer. 2017;16:39.
- 42. Shi F, Cao S, Zhu Y, Yu Q, Guo W, Zhang S. High expression of DHX9 promotes the growth and Metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Lab Anal 2021, 35, e24052.
- Yuan D, Chen Y, Yang Z, Li G, Wu M, Jiang J, Li D, Yu Q. SPOP attenuates migration and invasion of choriocarcinoma cells by promoting DHX9 degradation. Am J Cancer Res. 2020;10:2428–45.
- Ng YC, Chung WC, Kang HR, Cho HJ, Park EB, Kang SJ, Song MJ. A DNAsensing-independent role of a nuclear RNA helicase, DHX9, in stimulation of NF-kappaB-mediated innate immunity against DNA virus Infection. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:9011–26.
- Zhang Z, Yuan B, Lu N, Facchinetti V, Liu YJ. DHX9 pairs with IPS-1 to sense double-stranded RNA in myeloid dendritic cells. J Immunol. 2011;187:4501–8.
- 46. Hai L, Zhang C, Li T, Zhou X, Liu B, Li S, Zhu M, Lin Y, Yu S, Zhang K, et al. Notch1 is a prognostic factor that is distinctly activated in the classical and proneural subtype of glioblastoma and that promotes glioma cell survival via the NF-kappaB(p65) pathway. Cell Death Dis. 2018;9:158.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.